Debate-based Learning Game for Constructing Mathematical Proofs

Nadira Boudjani¹ Abdelkader Gouaich¹ Souhila Kaci¹ {boudjani,gouaich,kaci}@lirmm.fr

¹Laboratory of computer science, robotics and microelectronics of montpellier University of Montpellier, France

ECSQARU, 2017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで 1/21

Introduction

2 Background

Obate-based learning game





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで 2/21

What is a debate?

Debate is a valuable and effective method of learning. It is an interactive process in which learners cooperate by exchanging arguments and counter-arguments to solve a common question.



- V. Durant-Guerrier, Argumentation and Proof in the Mathematics Classroom (In Proof and Proving in Mathematics education, 2012).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�� 4/21

- A. Pease, Budzynska K., Lawrence J., and C. Reed. Lakatos games for mathematical argument (COMMA, 2014)

- V. Durant-Guerrier, Argumentation and Proof in the Mathematics Classroom (In Proof and Proving in Mathematics education, 2012).

- A. Pease, Budzynska K., Lawrence J., and C. Reed. Lakatos games for mathematical argument (COMMA, 2014)

Our contribution

pragmatic tool for mathematics teaching and learning (mathematical proofs).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 _ のへで 4/21

Argumentation Framework (Dung 1995)

An argumentation framework AF is a pair $\langle A, D \rangle$ where:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 _ のへで 5/21

A: is a set of arguments.

 $D \subseteq A \times A$: is a relation representing *defeat*

Argumentation Framework (Dung 1995)

An argumentation framework AF is a pair $\langle A, D \rangle$ where:

- A: is a set of arguments.
- $D \subseteq A \times A$: is a relation representing *defeat*

Admissible Set

Given an $AF = \langle A, D \rangle$, a set $S \subseteq A$ is **admissible** in AF, if:

S is conflict-free

S defends all its elements.

S defends all its elements if $\forall a \in S$, if $\exists b \in A \ s.t.(b, a) \in D$, then $\exists c \in S, s.t.(c, b) \in D$.

Grounded extension

Given an $AF = \langle A, D \rangle$, the grounded extension of AF is given by the least fixpoint of F_{AF} .

$$F_{AF}: 2^A \rightarrow 2^A$$

- $F_{AF}(S) = \{a | a \text{ is acceptable with respect to } S\}$

Example

admissible(AF)= {{a}, {d}, {a, d}, {a, c}} Grounded extension(AF)= {a, c}

Bipolar Argumentation Framework

A bipolar argumentation framework *BAF* is a triplet $\langle A, D, S \rangle$ where:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 _ の�� 7/21

- A: is a set of arguments.
- $D \subseteq A \times A$: is a relation representing *defeat*
- $S \subseteq A \times A$: is a relation representing *support*

Bipolar Argumentation Framework

A bipolar argumentation framework *BAF* is a triplet $\langle A, D, S \rangle$ where:

- A: is a set of arguments.
- $D \subseteq A \times A$: is a relation representing *defeat*
- $S \subseteq A \times A$: is a relation representing *support*



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 _ の�� 7/21

Deductive argument

Let Γ be a set of formulas constructed from a given language *L*. An argument is a pair $\langle P, C \rangle$ where:

$$P \subseteq \Gamma$$

 $P \nvDash \bot$
 $P \vdash_* C$
 $P' \subseteq P, P' \vdash_* C$
 $_*$ is the inference symbol

Example

$$\langle \{n > 0, k > 0\}, k \times n > n \rangle$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで 8/21

Debate-based learning game

process



$$\text{Prove if ``} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{'' then} \quad ``(n \geqslant N \Rightarrow 2 - \varepsilon < \frac{2n+1}{n+2} < 2 + \varepsilon). \quad "$$





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ ■▶ ◆ ■▶ ● ■ ● の ♥ ● 10/21

- Relations={support, defeat}
- Propositions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{n\in\mathbb{N}, & \frac{2n+1}{n+2}<2, & \neg(\epsilon=-1), & \frac{2n+1}{n+2}<2+\epsilon, & \neg(\frac{2n+1}{n+2}<2), \\ n\geq N, & \epsilon>0, & N>\frac{2}{\epsilon}-2, & n>\frac{3}{\epsilon}-2, & \neg(N=[\frac{3}{\epsilon}-2]+1), \\ \epsilon=-1, & n=1, & \neg(2-\epsilon<\frac{2n+1}{n+2}), & n=-3, & \exists N\in\mathbb{N}, \\ \neg(n=-3), & (2-\epsilon)(n+2)<2n+1\,, & 2-\epsilon<\frac{2n+1}{n+2}\, \}. \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 _ のへで 11/21



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで 12/21

Example Debate graph during the game



Example Debate graph



$$\begin{array}{l} A_1: \langle \{\epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N\}, 2 - \epsilon < \frac{2n+1}{n+2} \rangle \\ A_2: \langle \{\epsilon = -1, n = 1, \}, \neg(2 - \epsilon < \frac{2n+1}{n+2}) \rangle \\ A_3: \langle \{\epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq N\}, \frac{2n+1}{n+2} < 2 + \epsilon \rangle \\ A_4: \langle \{\epsilon > 0, \frac{2n+1}{n+2} < 2\}, \frac{2n+1}{n+2} < 2 + \epsilon \rangle \\ A_5: \langle \{\epsilon > 0\}, \epsilon > 0 \rangle \\ A_6: \langle \{n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \frac{2n+1}{n+2} < 2 \rangle \\ A_7: \langle \{n \in \mathbb{N}\}, n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \\ A_8: \langle \{n \in \mathbb{N}\}, (2 - \epsilon)(n + 2) < 2n + 1\}, 2 - \epsilon < \frac{2n+1}{n+2} \rangle \\ A_9: \langle \{\epsilon > 0, n > \frac{3}{\epsilon} - 2\}, (2 - \epsilon)(n + 2) < 2n + 1 \rangle \\ A_{10}: \langle \{n \geq N, N > \frac{3}{\epsilon} - 2\}, n > \frac{3}{\epsilon} - 2 \rangle \\ A_{11}: \langle \{N > \frac{3}{\epsilon} - 2\}, N > \frac{3}{\epsilon} - 2 \rangle \\ A_{12}: \langle \{n \geq N\}, n \geq N \rangle \\ A_{13}: \langle \{n = -3\}, \neg(\frac{2n+1}{n+2} < 2) \rangle \\ A_{14}: \langle \{n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \neg(n = -3) \rangle \\ A_{15}: \langle \{n \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0\}, \frac{2n+1}{n+2} < 2 + \epsilon \rangle \\ A_{16}: \langle \{\epsilon > 0\}, \neg(\epsilon = -1) \rangle \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで 14/21





Send the debate graph





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 _ のへで 15/21





Arguments

Defeat relation

Support relation

王

Bipolar Argumentation Framework

 $BAF = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S} \rangle$ where:

$$\begin{array}{l} -\mathcal{A} = \{\textit{A}_{0},\textit{A}_{1} \land \textit{A}_{3},\textit{A}_{2},\textit{A}_{4},\textit{A}_{5} \land \textit{A}_{6},\textit{A}_{7} \land \textit{A}_{9},\textit{A}_{8},\textit{A}_{5} \land \textit{A}_{10},\\ \textit{A}_{11} \land \textit{A}_{12},\textit{A}_{13},\textit{A}_{14},\textit{A}_{15},\textit{A}_{16}\}, \end{array}$$

$$-\mathcal{D} = \{(A_2, A_1), (A_{16}, A_2), (A_{13}, A_6), (A_{14}, A_{13})\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &-\mathcal{S} = \{(A_1 \land A_3, A_0), (A_8, A_1), (A_9 \land A_7, A_8), (A_{10} \land A_5, A_9), \\ &(A_{11} \land A_{12}, A_{10}), (A_{15}, A_3), (A_4, A_{15}), (A_5 \land A_6, A_4), \\ &(A_7, A_6), (A_5, A_{16}), (A_7, A_{14})\} \end{aligned}$$

Grounded extension

 $GE = \{A_0, A_1 \land A_3, A_8, A_7 \land A_9, A_5 \land A_{10}, A_{11} \land A_{12}, A_{15}, A_4, A_6 \land A_5, A_{14}, A_{16}\}$

Example Assessement





Debate graph analyzed



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 _ のへで 19/21

- Debate-based learning game: mathematics domain, argumentation theory and game theory.
- The principle of the game has been tested by a group of learners and instructors.
- The system is acceptable to learners and instructors.
- Extension of the current work with preferences among learners.

- Finalize our system.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

